

## **A Project Report: Development of iPad-based Learning Materials about Media Production**

**Tadashi Inagaki**

*Tohoku Gakuin University, Japan*

**Mihoko Kamei**

*Sugiyama Jogakuen University, Japan*

**Kosuke Terashima**

*Nagasaki University, Japan*

**Yu Nakahashi**

*Musashi Univeristy, Japan*

*We developed learning materials about media production for the iPad for elementary students. The materials focused on making presentation slides, newspapers, leaflets and videos. Each material includes six learning points, which helped students to reflect on their own activities. The learning points consist of a four-scaled rubric, samples of each description of the rubric, and explanation movies of the samples. In this paper, we described the development process of these learning materials. In addition, we conducted a questionnaire to confirm impressions of the students and analyze a practice of social studies in the 5th grade where the students used the materials on iPads. It was confirmed that their products actually improved considering the learning points in the materials.*

**Keywords:** Media Production, Tablet, Elementary school, Learning materials, Information Literacy

### **Introduction**

Tablet computers like the iPad are rapidly spreading in classrooms around the world. In Thailand, the government decided to distribute one million tablets to students nationwide in May, 2012 (Economist, 2012). In the U.S., the district of San Diego distributed around 25,700 iPads to fifth and eighth grade classes (UT San Diego, 2012). By 2015, all paper textbooks in South Korea will have gone and been converted to digital versions on smart phones, tablets and smart televisions (The Korea Herald, 2011). Preceding the introduction of tablets, laptops have also been distributed to classrooms. The One Laptop per Child project (OLPC) delivered over 2.4 million laptops to children mostly in developing countries (One Laptop per Child, 2012). In Japan, the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications started “The Future School Project” in 2010. To study the feasibility of one to one learning environments and effects of using ICT

(Information and Communication Technology) for learner-centered collaborative learning, all students were provided with a tablet PC and every classroom was provided with an interactive white board. 10 elementary schools were selected as pilot schools for the project and 8 junior high schools and 2 special needs education schools also participated. In addition, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) announced its “Informationization vision of education” in 2011. According to the announcement, all students in Japan will bring their own tablet with digital textbooks by 2020. Consequently, hundreds of schools and education boards are conducting pilot projects about students using tablets in the classroom.

These reforms of the learning environment brought significant changes in teaching and learning. Bebell and Kay (2010) examined the educational impacts of the Berkshire Wireless Learning Initiative in Massachusetts and found the program led to measurable changes in teacher practice, student achievement, student engagement, and students’ research skills. Inagaki et al. (2011) categorized lesson plans in the future schools in Japan and found six types of utilization of interactive white boards and tablet PCs. Penuel (2006) synthesized findings from studies about effects of one-to-one initiatives and reported positive effects on technology use, technology literacy, and writing skills.

New technologies are not only affecting ways of teaching, but also actualizing a need for changing learning content. 21st century skills (Trilling & Fadel, 2009), digital competence (Ala-Mutka, Punie & Redecker, 2008), NETS-S(International Society for Technology in Education, 2007) and other competence models are proposed for developing a new kind of information literacy. In Japan, a new course of study started in April 2011 and all textbooks were revised considering ICT use and linguistic activities of students. In this curriculum, information education is regarded as important for fostering information literacy, which is the ability to acquire, evaluate and use information. However, learning contents about information literacy are separately embedded into existing subjects. There are two difficulties for teachers to foster information literacy in the current curriculum. The first is a lack of a systematic curriculum of information literacy. Although MEXT proposed three major categories and eight learning objectives about information literacy, these objectives are not related closely with textbooks of existing subjects. Consequently, it depends on the decision of each teacher as to what kind of information literacy is taught and when in the curriculum for the year. The second is a problem of textbooks. As we mentioned above, textbooks treat information skills separately. Then, even if a devoted teacher wants to teach information literacy, the contents of the textbooks are too fragmented. Therefore, they need well-designed learning materials besides textbooks, which can support their students’ activities for developing information literacy.

## **Purpose**

In this research, we developed learning materials for students in elementary schools to foster information literacy focusing on media production. Reflecting the current ICT installation, the material is developed on the Web and it works on iPads, PCs and interactive whiteboards. Considering the above two difficulties of fostering information literacy in elementary schools, we supposed the following design of the material would support teachers and students to

confront the difficulties.

Rubric-based material: we defined learning points and rubrics on media production activities. The material supported teachers to consider a sequence of information literacy connected with concrete learning activities. It also supported students to be aware of information literacy and to improve their work through use of the materials.

Samples and explanations were installed: every standard had a sample, which visualized the statements of the rubric. In addition, every sample had an explanation. Therefore, teachers could explain information literacy visually and students could easily understand what the rubric meant.

This material worked on iPads: a tablet device is easy to use in normal classrooms. This small, thin and instant-on device can coexist with textbooks, notes and other paper materials. Most lessons in elementary schools are conducted in normal classrooms. To include learning about information literacy in existing subjects, materials should be accessed not in a computer lab, but in normal classrooms.

In this paper, we described the development process of these learning materials. Then, to confirm impressions of students who used the materials, some results of a questionnaire for them appeared. In addition, we analyzed a practice of social studies in the 5th grade where the students used the materials on the iPad.

## **Development of the Learning Material**

Figure 1 is the top page of the material. It targeted four types of media production: presentation slides, newspapers, leaflets and videos. Each material included six learning points, which helped students to reflect on their own activities. The learning points consisted of a four-scaled rubric, samples of each description of the rubric, and explanation movies of the samples. Taken together, 96 samples and explanations were available.

We organized a team for this research project. Four researchers and five teachers were involved. Each researcher and his or her students developed one of the four kinds of media production materials. Four teachers focused on one kind of material each and the fifth teacher supervised them and used all kinds of materials in one unit among integrated studies before the other teachers used them.

The process of developing the materials was composed of five steps: brainstorming, clarifying learning points, making rubrics, making samples and making explanations of the samples.



**Figure 1.** The top page of the material

### Brainstorming

A kick off meeting was held in May, 2011. At the meeting, all members discussed learning points of all media production activities. Nineteen participants - four researchers, five teachers and ten students - put points of teaching on sticky notes. This resulted in 252 points being collected, 53 for newspapers, 68 for presentations, 60 for video and 71 for leaflets.

### Clarifying Learning Points

Each researcher categorized the above sticky notes and found several learning points. There were six learning points in each media production activity and they were separated into two aspects: information creation and delivery. 24 learning points were found in total (table 1). In addition, illustrations about the learning points were drawn to make the materials more eye-catching.

**Table 1.** Media type, Learning points in information creation phase and learning points in information delivery phase


Media	Information Creation Phase	Information Delivery Phase
Presentation	Order of slides, volume of the content, characters, figures and pictures	Talking and answering questions
Newspaper	Collecting materials, writing an article, reflecting on the article	Headline, layout of articles, figures and pictures
Video	Subject, camera, microphone	Editing, narration, effects
Leaflet	Information, composition, text	Appeal, figures and pictures, design

## Creating Rubrics

Considering subjects and units where the teachers used the materials, each researcher prepared statements of the rubric. The rubric consists of four levels: excellent, good, adequate and poor. Table 2 shows a sample of the rubric, figures and pictures in making presentation slides. It was assumed that all descriptions could be understood by fourth grade students. Figure 2 is an interface for the rubric. Each description has two buttons: “sample” (to watch the sample) and “explanation” (to see explanation of the sample).

**Table 2.** *Sample of rubric*

Criteria	Description
S: excellent	Good, appropriately-sized figures and pictures are presented with explanations.
A: good	Figures and pictures are appropriate for the content.
B: adequate	Several figures and pictures are used, but they are not related to the content.
C: poor	There are few figures and pictures.



**Figure 2.** *A rubric interface*

## Making Samples

We prepared concrete sample images or movies for each description of the rubric. Figure 3 is a sample of criterion “A” about figures and pictures in presentations. The title is “Why can penguins walk on ice?” The picture, a penguin’s feet, matches the text in the slide well. To avoid overlapping with the work of students, themes of all samples were different from any subjects and curriculum or used imaginary settings. The movies were under 30 seconds long, as students easily understand the learning point.



Figure 3. Sample of the description on a rubric

### Making Explanations of the Samples

Explanations of the samples are movies, which include annotations in the form of subtitles and animations. In addition, to let students understand points of the explanation, a summary of the explanation was shown at the end of the movie. Figure 4 shows a scene of the explanation movie about the same sample in figure 3. The annotation says, “This picture expresses what the author wants to deliver well.”



Figure 4. Explanation movie of the sample in figure 3

### Learning Environments

Five elementary school teachers started using the materials in September 2011. Japanese, social studies, living environment studies and integrated studies were chosen by the teachers to implement the materials in their lessons. Seven iPads were provided for each class. Therefore, students formed groups and used the materials collaboratively. All materials were built on a remote Web server with Joomla, a content management system. By changing style sheets,

teachers and students could switch between three types of interface and layouts of the materials: PCs, interactive whiteboards and iPads.

Most of the lessons when the teachers and their students used the materials were carried out in a normal classroom. The students used the iPads mainly for watching the materials only. Their products – newspapers and leaflets - were created on paper. Concerning video production, iPads were used for recording videos at a normal classroom. A computer laboratory was used for creating presentation slides.

### Students' Reactions to the Materials

Impressions of the students who used the materials were collected by a questionnaire. From November 2011 to March 2012, 187 students in the five elementary schools responded to the questionnaire. Table 3 shows six questions and their average scores about use of the materials. The students answered on a scale of 4 to 1 (agree, tend to agree, tend to disagree and disagree.) Because every score was more than 3.0, the materials were evaluated positively. Especially in question 2, which received the highest score, they could understand the difference in the materials. However, because the materials did not teach directly and the students had to read and understand the materials and to reflect on their works according to the rubrics, the question 1 received the lowest score.

**Table 3.** *Students' reactions to the materials and learning activities*

Use of the materials	Score
1. I understood how to improve my product.	3.31
2. I understood the difference among SABC.	3.73
3. Explanation movies were easy to understand.	3.38
4. Samples were easy to understand.	3.41
5. Rubrics were easy to understand.	3.52
6. I enjoyed the media production activity.	3.63

### Case Study


Besides the five teachers, a few of their colleagues also used the materials. Finally, by March 2012, 12 classrooms used the materials in a total of 33 lessons among seven different units. Here is an example of the materials being used in a 5th grade social studies lesson. Students formed 8 groups and created presentation slides about the automotive industry. In the middle of the unit, they used the materials for a self-evaluation activity. The teacher handed the students worksheets for entering the score of their self-evaluation and writing plans for improving their products (Figure 5). Like self-regulated learning (Zimmerman, 1990), the students evaluated their products with metacognition triggered by the materials.



**Figure 5.** *Self-evaluation after watching the materials*

To analyze this practice, we compared the initial presentations before the improvement and the final presentations after that. In the information creation phase, one of the authors assessed their presentations according to the four points in the materials and the teacher who conducted this lesson examined the assessment. Figure 6 shows total ratios of the four points in 8 groups. It is confirmed that all groups' products actually improved considering the points in the materials.

In addition, the teacher pointed out several advantages of the material. First, using the materials, she could minimize her instructions. Therefore, time spent supporting each individual student decreased and she could support all students more frequently. Second, the teacher could focus on the learning objectives of the unit. This lesson was not how to make a presentation. Their products were to include what they learned as social studies. Her advice was mainly about contents and the materials gave advice about design of presentations.



**Figure 6.** *Evaluation of presentation slides*

## Discussion

We developed learning materials about media production for elementary students. The process of developing the materials was composed of five steps: brainstorming, clarifying points, making rubrics, making samples and making explanations of the samples. The materials consisted of 24 points, a four-scaled rubric with samples and explanations about the descriptions of the rubric. 96 samples and explanations were available.



Teachers and students could use the materials on PCs, interactive whiteboards and iPads. 12 classrooms used the materials in a total of 33 lessons among seven different units. From the questionnaire for the students, the materials were evaluated positively. From the case study of the 5th grade students in social studies, it was confirmed that the materials on iPads could support students to create their products by self-evaluation based on the rubrics and samples.

Several tasks remain for the future. The first is about curriculum development to promote information literacy. The rubrics would be a basis of designing a systematic curriculum about information literacy. However, these materials target only media production activities. We are now preparing additional materials which focus on information acquiring activities. The second is about learning environment. The students used the materials on iPads in groups. Besides the one tablet per group situation, one per pair and one per student learning environments might support their reflection more individually. Teaching strategies using the materials in various learning environments should be defined.

## References

- Ala-Mutka, K., Punie, Y., & Redecker, C. (2008). *Digital competence for lifelong learning*. Institute for Prospective Technological Studies (IPTS), European Commission, Joint Research Centre. Technical Note: JRC, 48708.
- Bebell, D. & Kay, R. (2010). One to One Computing: A Summary of the Quantitative Results from the Berkshire Wireless Learning Initiative. *Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment*, 9(2).
- Economist (2012). Education in Thailand: let them eat tablets. Retrieved May 7, 2013 from <http://www.economist.com/node/21556940>
- Inagaki, T., Nakagawa, H., Murai, M., Shimizu, M., Nakahashi, Y., Uchigaito, T., Yamamoto, T., Kurihara, K. and Futaki, S. (2011). What do Interactive Whiteboards and Tablet PCs bring to a classroom? *World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications (EDMEDIA)*, 406-411.
- International Society for Technology in Education. (2007). *National educational technology standards for students, Second edition*. ISTE.
- The Korea Herald (2011). *All textbooks to go digital by 2015*. Retrieved May 7, 2013 from <http://view.koreaherald.com/kh/view.php?ud=20110629000838&cpv=0>
- One Laptop per Child (2012). OLPC and Marvell announce the XO-3 tablet. Retrieved May 7, 2013 from <http://blog.laptop.org/2012/01/06/olpc-and-marvell-announce-xo-3-tablet/>
- Penuel, W. R. (2006). Implementation and Effects Of One-to-One Computing Initiatives: A Research Synthesis. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 38(3), 329-348.
- Trilling, B. & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times*. CA: Jossey-Bass.
- UT San Diego (2012). *SD unified rolls out iPads in a big way*. Retrieved May 7, 2013 from <http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2012/apr/29/sd-unified-rolls-out-ipads-in-a-big-way/>
- Zimmerman, B.J. (1990). Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: An overview. *Educational Psychologist*, 25, 3-17.